

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/712,144	11/15/2000	Christian Braun	ALL.010	8571
. 7	590 09/23/2002			
Jones Volentine LLC			EXAMINER	
Suite 150 12200 Sunrise Valley Drive Reston, VA 20191		WIMER, MICHAEL C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	
		,	DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. BRAUN ET AL. 09/712.144 Advisory Action **Art Unit Examiner** 2821 Michael C. Wimer --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-29,31-33 and 35-38. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2821

10. Other: ___

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Re applicant's arguments and the language: "in a respective predefined physical operation environment", particularly with respect to Claim 1, and relating to the "plurality of antenna configuration states", the antenna of Kuffner et al may be used or adapted in any respective predefined physical operation environment in each of these states. A user may define the operation environment and the antenna will work in the defined states. The claims do not particularly point out and make it clear the various positions cited in the first sentence of the paragraphing bridging pages 2 and 3 of the remarks. However, the Kuffner et al phone is intended to be placed and operated as argued in the remarks. Thus, the phone of Kuffner et al is in various positions when being used. The antenna system therein adapts to the various positions by switching to the antenna that receives the strongest signal. The postion that the phone is in when used is certainly "predefined" and the antenna configuration state is adapted to the respective predefined physical operation environment, as recited in the claims. The circuitry in Kuffner et al allows sensing of signal strength and then selection of the respective antenna for maximum signal use. Kuffner et al suggest the sensor, measure, etc., at column 6, second paragraph. The circuitry detects polarization direction or signal strength and an appropriate

circuit/algorithm is employed. Thus, the claims at hand are not seen to patentably define over the prior art of record.